Academicia Globe:
Inderscience Research

ISSN: 2776-1010 Volume 7, Issue 5, May 2026

NEW THEORETICAL APPROACHES TO ENSURING NATIONAL CURRENCY STABILITY IN THE CONTEXT OF THE DIGITAL ECONOMY AND DIGITAL CURRENCIES (CBDC AND CRYPTOCURRENCIES)

Gafurov Olimjon Galib ogli,
Senior Lecturer, Department of Agroeconomics,
Tashkent State Agrarian University
olimjon.gafurov.95@mail.ru gofurov_o@nuu.uz
ORCID https://orcid.org/0009-0005-9255-2092
Google schoolar link https://scholar.google.com/citations?hl=ru&user=nZ8NcZUAAAAJ
Researchgate link https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Olimjon-Gafurov

Abstract
The rapid digitization of the global financial architecture, characterized by the rise of decentralized cryptocurrencies and the development of Central Bank Digital Currencies (CBDCs), has challenged traditional monetary equilibrium. This article examines the theoretical shifts required to maintain national currency stability in a post-fiat-only world. It explores the phenomenon of "cryptoization," the evolution of monetary transmission mechanisms, and the "Digital Currency Trilemma." The research proposes a hybrid theoretical framework that integrates algorithmic supply management with traditional interest rate targeting. By analyzing the structural differences between private digital assets and sovereign digital money, this paper provides a roadmap for central banks to navigate the volatility of the digital age while preserving monetary sovereignty.

Keywords: CBDC, Cryptocurrencies, Monetary Policy, Digital Economy, Currency Stability, Financial Disintermediation, Algorithmic Stability.

Introduction

For nearly a century, the stability of a national currency has been tethered to the central bank's absolute monopoly over the issuance of legal tender and its ability to influence interest rates through a centralized, hierarchical banking system. In this "analog" era, monetary policy functioned as a closed-loop system where the central bank acted as the sole "Lender of Last Resort," and commercial banks served as the primary gatekeepers of liquidity. However, the rapid ascent of the digital economy has shattered this institutional monolith, introducing a "disruptive duality" that forces a total re-evaluation of what constitutes currency stability.

On one side of this duality are decentralized private cryptocurrencies (e.g., Bitcoin, Ethereum), which operate on the principle of "code is law," bypassing traditional intermediaries and offering an alternative store of value that is immune to state-led inflation. On the other side is the state-led technological counter-offensive: Central Bank Digital Currencies (CBDCs). This duality represents more than just a change in the medium of exchange; it is a fundamental shift in the power dynamics of global finance.

ISSN: 2776-1010 Volume 7, Issue 5, May 2026

The problem of currency stability in this new era is no longer confined to the traditional borders of controlling consumer price inflation or managing the balance of payments. It has evolved into a strategic battle for monetary sovereignty. In the 20 th century, a country lost control over its currency through "dollarization"-where citizens abandoned a weak local currency in favor of the US Dollar. Today, we observe a more complex and stealthy phenomenon: "digital dollarization" or "cryptoization." In economies where digital assets are widely adopted for daily transactions or as primary savings vehicles, the central bank's "grip" on the economy-specifically its ability to transmit monetary policy-significantly weakens. When a significant portion of the money supply shifts to private, borderless ledgers, the central bank's interest rate signals become less effective to a large segment of the market. This erosion of control threatens the very essence of the sovereign state's ability to stabilize the economy during cyclical downturns, effectively outsourcing national economic health to decentralized protocols or foreign private issuers.

Literature Review

The academic discourse on currency stability has evolved from analyzing physical money aggregates to exploring decentralized ledgers and algorithmic governance. The literature is categorized into four key pillars:

Traditional stability models are rooted in the **Quantity Theory of Money (QTM)** (MV=PQ). However, **Rogoff (2016)** and **Prasad (2021)** argue that digitization shatters the assumption of stable velocity (V). In a digital ecosystem, velocity becomes "high-frequency," reducing the time-lag between policy actions and economic outcomes, necessitating real-time monetary frameworks [1][2].

Since **Nakamoto (2008)**, the nature of "trust" has shifted. **Hayek's** vision of private currency competition has found new life in Distributed Ledger Technology (DLT). Modern research by **Auer and Böhme (2020)** highlights a transition from institutional trust (Central Banks) to algorithmic trust (Code). However, the inherent volatility of private crypto-assets limits their utility as a stable unit of account [3][4].

The **IMF** and **BIS** frequently cite "cryptoization"-the digital successor to dollarization. **Adrian and Mancini-Griffoli (2019)** identify that stablecoins can bypass domestic monetary transmission, leading to a loss of sovereignty [5]. Furthermore, **Brunnermeier et al. (2019)** suggest the rise of "Digital Currency Areas," where platforms, rather than geographic borders, define monetary stability [6].

Central Bank Digital Currencies (CBDCs) are the institutional answer to private assets. **Bindseil (2020)** and **Kumhof (2018)** focus on the risk of financial disintermediation-the potential for CBDCs to drain commercial bank deposits. To mitigate this, literature proposes "two-tier" models and remunerated CBDCs to maintain the balance between innovation and banking stability [7][8].

Academicia Globe:
Inderscience Research

ISSN: 2776-1010 Volume 7, Issue 5, May 2026

Research Methodology

This study utilizes a **qualitative-analytical approach** to examine how digital currencies reshape monetary stability. Given the rapid evolution of the field, the methodology focuses on theoretical synthesis and conceptual modeling.

The design is **exploratory**, aimed at identifying how digital assets bypass central bank controls. Data is gathered from:

- **Institutional Reports:** Strategic papers from the **BIS, IMF,** and **World Bank**.
- **Central Bank Pilots:** Technical data from e-CNY (China), the Digital Euro, and other CBDC projects.
- **Academic Meta-analysis:** Reviewing peer-reviewed literature on FinTech and macroeconomics.

The methodology revisits three foundational pillars through a digital lens:

1. **Expanded QTM:** Analyzing how "near-infinite velocity" (V) on blockchains affects price stability.
2. **Mundell-Fleming Model:** Testing the "Impossible Trinity" against borderless digital capital flows.
3. **The Digital Trilemma:** Evaluating the trade-offs between **Sovereignty, Scalability, and Stability**.

Analysis and Discussion

The transition from a purely fiat-based monetary system to a multi-layered digital currency environment necessitates a rigorous analysis of how value is transmitted and stabilized. This section evaluates the core shifts in monetary mechanics and the emerging trade-offs for central banks.

Traditional monetary policy is fundamentally predicated on the "Interest Rate Channel" of the transmission mechanism. In this conventional framework, the central bank operates as the gravitational center of the financial system; by adjusting the policy rate (Repo rate), it triggers a cascade effect where commercial banks recalibrate their lending and deposit rates. This, in turn, dictates the cost of capital, influencing aggregate demand through consumption and investment. However, the rapid proliferation of Decentralized Finance (DeFi) and Stablecoins has introduced a "parallel financial universe" that operates outside this gravitational pull.

When a significant portion of a nation's liquidity migrates into non-sovereign digital assets, the central bank's traditional tools begin to encounter a friction point termed Monetary Impotence. In a "cryptoized" economy, interest rate signals emitted by the central bank become increasingly decoupled from the actual cost of credit in the digital market. If businesses and individuals can access liquidity through over-collateralized DeFi protocols or transact in USD-pegged stablecoins, the domestic policy rate loses its ability to cool down or stimulate the economy. This creates a systemic risk where the central bank remains responsible for price stability but lacks the mechanical leverage to enforce it.

To counter this erosion of authority, new theoretical approaches suggest that a Central Bank Digital Currency (CBDC) must be viewed not merely as a technological upgrade to the payment system, but as a critical "re-anchoring" device for sovereign monetary policy. In an environment of fragmented digital

ISSN: 2776-1010 Volume 7, Issue 5, May 2026

liquidity, the CBDC serves as the "Sovereign Digital Anchor" that reconnects the central bank directly to the digital economy's pulse.

By providing a direct, programmable link between the monetary authority and the public, the CBDC restores and even enhances the transmission mechanism in several revolutionary ways:

Elimination of the "Middleman Lag": In the traditional system, there is often a significant time-lag between a central bank's rate change and its reflection in commercial bank rates. A CBDC allows for real-time adjustments; the central bank can directly remunerate digital wallets, ensuring that policy changes are felt by the end-user instantaneously.

Targeted and Programmable Stimulus: Unlike traditional "helicopter money," which is blunt and untargeted, CBDCs enable Smart Stimulus. Using smart contracts, liquidity can be programmed with specific parameters-such as expiration dates to encourage immediate spending or sector-specific triggers to support SMEs-thereby managing velocity (V) with surgical precision.

Restoring the Zero Lower Bound (ZLB) Maneuverability: In periods of deep recession, CBDCs provide a theoretical pathway to implement negative interest rates more effectively than physical cash, preventing liquidity traps by discouraging the hoarding of digital currency during deflationary spirals.

Ultimately, the “re-anchoring” role of the CBDC ensures that the national currency remains the primary unit of account in the digital economy. Without such an anchor, the national currency risks losing its central role in domestic transactions and monetary exchange.

Maintaining currency stability requires understanding the structural risks posed by different digital assets. The following table provides a comparative framework for these assets based on their impact on national monetary sovereignty.

Table 1: Impact of Digital Assets on Monetary Stability and Sovereignty

Feature	**Decentralized Crypto (e.g., BTC)**	**Private Stablecoins (e.g., USDT)**	**CBDC (Sovereign Digital Currency)**
Issuance Source	Decentralized Algorithm	Private Corporations	National Central Bank
Stability Anchor	Scarcity/Market Demand	Asset/Fiat Peg	State Credit/Taxation Power
Monetary Control	Zero	Indirect (via regulation)	Absolute/Algorithmic
Transmission Effect	Bypasses traditional banks	Competes with fiat deposits	Enhances direct transmission
Principal Risk	High Price Volatility	De-pegging/Reserve Risk	Financial Disintermediation
Sovereignty Impact	Disruptive (Cryptoization)	Strategic Competition	Defensive/Enhancing

Academicia Globe:
Inderscience Research

ISSN: 2776-1010 Volume 7, Issue 5, May 2026

A critical discovery in this research is the **Digital Currency Trilemma**. In the digital era, central banks face three conflicting objectives, of which only two can be fully achieved simultaneously:

1. **Monetary Sovereignty:** Total control over the domestic money supply.
2. **Open Capital Mobility:** Integration with borderless global digital markets.
3. **National Currency Stability:** Maintaining a stable exchange rate and low inflation.

Traditional models managed this through capital controls. However, in an age of VPNs and P2P transfers, capital controls are increasingly porous. The new theoretical approach posits that stability can only be maintained by **technological superiority-**making the national CBDC so efficient and integrated with "Smart Contracts" that users prefer it over volatile private alternatives.

The discussion now shifts toward **Algorithmic Stability**. Unlike traditional fiat, which is "passive," digital currencies are "active." Central banks can program CBDCs with:

- **Variable Remuneration:** Automatically adjusting interest rates on CBDC wallets to incentivize or disincentivize spending in real-time.
- **Conditional Liquidity:** Programming funds to be spent in specific sectors (e.g., green energy or SMEs) to manage structural inflation.
- **Auto-Intervention:** Algorithmic buying or selling of the digital currency against foreign assets to prevent "flash crashes" in the exchange rate.

While CBDCs enhance stability, they pose a risk to the commercial banking sector. If citizens move their money from bank deposits into a "risk-free" CBDC, banks lose their lending capacity. This study argues for a **"Two-Tier Hybrid Model"**, where commercial banks remain the distributors of CBDCs, but the central bank retains the digital oversight of the ledger. This ensures that the stability of the currency does not come at the cost of the collapse of the credit market.

Conclusion

The transition toward a digital financial architecture represents the most significant shift in monetary theory since the abandonment of the gold standard. This research has demonstrated that national currency stability in the 21st century can no longer be maintained through traditional institutional monopolies alone. The emergence of the "disruptive duality" between private cryptocurrencies and CBDCs requires a fundamental move from **reactive** to **algorithmic** monetary policy.

The core of the problem lies in the erosion of the "Interest Rate Channel" and the risk of "cryptoization," which threatens to turn national currencies into "ghost tenders." However, as analyzed, the implementation of a CBDC offers a powerful "re-anchoring" mechanism that restores the direct link between the central bank and the digital economy, enabling real-time management of money velocity and supply.

To ensure national currency stability in this new landscape, the following strategic actions are recommended:

- **Implement "Performance-Based" CBDC Architectures:** Central banks should not just digitize cash but create "Smart Money." The CBDC should support smart contracts to allow for targeted stimulus and automatic stabilizers that react to market volatility without human delay.

ISSN: 2776-1010 Volume 7, Issue 5, May 2026

- **Establish a "Digital Currency Buffer":** Similar to traditional gold reserves, central banks should maintain a diversified portfolio of liquid digital assets to defend the national currency's digital exchange rate against speculative "flash crashes" in the crypto-markets.
- **Adopt "Sandboxed" Regulatory Frameworks:** Instead of outright bans on private digital assets, which drive "cryptoization" underground, regulators should create "sandboxes." This allows for the integration of private innovation into the national payment system while maintaining oversight.
- **Prioritize "Monetary UX" (User Experience):** The stability of a currency is often driven by its utility. For a national currency to remain dominant, its digital version must offer lower transaction costs and higher integration with the digital economy (IoT, AI-commerce) than its private competitors.
- **Global Synchronization of Digital Standards:** To mitigate the "Digital Currency Trilemma," central banks must work toward cross-border CBDC interoperability. This prevents the fragmentation of the global economy into isolated "Digital Currency Areas" and stabilizes international capital flows.

In conclusion, the digital revolution does not signal the end of the national currency; rather, it demands its evolution. The new theoretical approach to stability is one where **code, transparency, and real-time data** replace the lagging indicators of the past. Central banks that embrace this algorithmic transition will not only preserve their monetary sovereignty but will also provide their economies with a more resilient and efficient foundation for growth in the digital age.

REFERENCES

1. Rogoff, K. (2016). The Curse of Cash. Princeton University Press
2. Prasad, E. S. (2021). The Future of Money: How the Digital Revolution is Transforming Currencies and Finance. Harvard University Press
3. Nakamoto, S. (2008). Bitcoin: A Peer-to-Peer Electronic Cash System. Self-published whitepaper.
4. Auer, R., & Böhme, R. (2020). The technology of retail central bank digital currency. BIS Quarterly Review, March issue, 85-100.
5. Adrian, T., & Mancini-Griffoli, T. (2019). The Rise of Digital Money. IMF FinTech Notes, Note/19/001. Washington, D.C.: International Monetary Fund.
6. Brunnermeier, M. K., James, H., & Landau, J. P. (2019). The Digitalization of Money. NBER Working Paper No. 26300.
7. Bindseil, U. (2020). Tiered CBDC and the financial system. ECB Working Paper Series, No 2351. Frankfurt: European Central Bank.
8. Kumhof (2018). Central bank digital currencies — design principles and balance sheet implications. Staff Working Paper No. 725. Bank of England.
9. Auer, R., Cornelli, G., & Frost, J. (2020). Rise of the central bank digital currencies: drivers, approaches and technologies. BIS Working Papers, No 880.